United Nations A/C.5/55/L.30

General Assembly

Distr.: General
20 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda items 117 and 123

Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001

Human resources management

Draft resolution submitted by the Chairman following informal consultations

Safety and security of United Nations personnel

The General Assembly,

Reaffirming its resolutions 54/249 of 23 December 1999, 54/250 of 23 December 1999 and other relevant resolutions,

Having considered the report of the Secretary-General entitled "Safety and security of United Nations personnel",[1] in particular its administrative and budgetary aspects, and the related report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions,[2]

1. *Endorses* the recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions,[2] subject to the following provisions;

2. *Decides* to consider the reclassification of the post of Deputy Security Coordinator from the D-1 to the D-2 level in the context of its review of the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2002-2003;

3. *Further decides* to establish, effective 1 January 2001, eight additional Professional posts (two P-5 and six P-4) in the Office of the United Nations Security Coordinator at Headquarters;

4. *Also decides* to establish, effective 1 January 2001, eight additional security officer (Field service) posts (four P-4 and four P-3) and sixteen additional Local level posts;

5. *Decides* to appropriate the amount of 2,210,000 dollars under section 30, Special expenses, and the amount of 238,400 dollars under section 32, Staff assessment, to be offset by an equivalent amount under income section 1, Income

[1] A/55/494.
[2] A/55/658.

00-80750 (E) 201200

from staff assessment, of the programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001,[3] for the Secretary-General to undertake the immediate measures for the strengthening of the security management system of the United Nations;

6. *Requests* the Secretary-General, in his capacity as Chairman of the Administrative Committee on Coordination, to develop in coordination with executive heads of the United Nations specialized agencies, funds and programmes an effective mechanism for cost-sharing arrangements and, in this connection, to ensure that in future the costs of the security management system be included in the regular budget, to be managed by the United Nations, contingent upon a formal arrangement with the concerned agencies, funds and programmes for participation in the funding of security arrangements and reimbursement to the United Nations for services provided, under income section 2, General income, of the programme budget, and to submit proposals thereon to the General Assembly at its fifty-sixth session through the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions; also decides that, in the meantime, the established cost-sharing arrangements between the United Nations and its specialized agencies, funds and programmes will remain in place until the General Assembly decides otherwise;

7. *Also requests* the Secretary-General, when presenting future reports under these agenda items, to continue to ensure that separate reports are presented.

[3] *Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 6* (A/54/6/Rev.1).

United Nations A/C.5/55/L.31

General Assembly

Distr.: Limited
20 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda item 117
Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001

Draft decision submitted by the Rapporteur following informal consultations

Revised estimates of resolutions and decisions adopted by the Economic and Social Council at its substantive session of 2000

The Fifth Committee recommends to the General Assembly that it take note of the reports of the Secretary-General on the revised estimates resulting from resolutions and decisions adopted by the Economic and Social Council at its substantive session of 2000, contained in document A/C.5/55/25 and Corr.1, and Add.1, and, with respect to the related recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions (see A/C.5/55/SR.41), authorizes the Secretary-General to enter into commitments not exceeding $856,400 for the purpose of implementing the resolutions and decisions adopted by the Economic and Social Council at its substantive session of 2000 for activities approved,[1] and requests the Secretary-General to report thereon to the General Assembly at its fifty-sixth session in the context of its consideration of the second performance report.

[1] Economic and Social Council resolution 2000/22 of 28 July 2000 and decision 2000/311 of 22 November 2000.

00-80770 (E) 201200

United Nations A/C.5/55/L.32

General Assembly

Distr.: Limited
21 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda items 117 and 153

Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001

Administrative and budgetary aspects of the financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations

Draft resolution submitted by the Chairman following informal consultations

Implementation of the report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations

The General Assembly,

Having considered the report of the Secretary-General on resource requirements for implementation of the report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations[1] and the related report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions,[2]

Taking full account of the report of the Special Committee on Peacekeeping Operations on the comprehensive review of the whole question of peacekeeping operations in all their aspects[3] and General Assembly resolution 55/135, of 8 December 2000, endorsing the proposals, recommendation and conclusions of the Special Committee contained in its report,

Recalling its resolutions 45/258 of 3 May 1991, 47/218 A of 23 December 1992, 48/226 A of 23 December 1993, 48/226 B of 5 April 1994, 48/226 C of 29 July 1994, 49/250 of 20 July 1995, 50/11 of 2 November 1995, 50/221 A of 11 April 1996, 50/221 B of 7 June 1996, 51/226 of 3 April 1997, 51/239 A of 17 June 1997, 51/239 B and 51/243 of 15 September 1997, 52/220 of 22 December 1997, 52/234 and 52/248 of 26 June 1998, 53/12 A of 26 October 1998, 53/208 B of 18 December 1998, 53/12 B of 8 June 1999, 54/243 A of 23 December 1999 and 54/243 B of 15 June 2000 and its decisions 48/489 of 8 July 1994, 49/469 of 23 December 1994 and 50/473 of 23 December 1995,

[1] A/55/507 and Add.1.
[2] A/55/676.
[3] A/C.4/55/6.

00-80844 (E) 211200

Recalling its resolutions 54/249 and 54/250 of 23 December 1999,

Recalling also its resolution 55/2 of 8 September 2000 on the United Nations Millennium Declaration,

1. *Reaffirms* rule 153 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly;

2. *Agrees* with the views expressed by the Special Committee on Peacekeeping Operations in paragraphs 34 and 35 of its report on the comprehensive review;[3]

3. *Endorses* the conclusions and recommendations contained in the report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions,[2] and requests the Secretary-General to ensure their full implementation;

4. *Decides* to appropriate an additional amount of $363,000 under section 3, Political affairs, $37,200 under section 27, Management and central support services, and $19,200 under section 32, Staff assessment, to be offset by a corresponding amount ($19,200) under income section 1, Income from staff assessment, of the programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001;[4]

5. *Approves* the support account post and non-posts requirements in the amount of 9,190,200 dollars gross ($8,741,600 dollars net) for the period from 1 July 2000 to 30 June 2001;

6. *Agrees* with paragraph 36 of the report of the Special Committee on Peacekeeping Operations[3] relating to proper representation of troop-contributing countries in the Department of Peacekeeping Operations;

7. *Underscores* the importance of consultation with troop-contributing countries from the early stages of mission planning;

8. *Expresses deep concern* over the delay in reimbursement of troop contributors, which can cause hardship to all troop- and equipment-contributing countries, and requests the Secretariat to expedite the processing of all claims and present a progress report in this regard during the first part of the resumed fifty-fifth session of the General Assembly;

9. *Notes* that the related resource requirements presented by the Secretary-General have been described by him as an emergency request, whereas, in terms of paragraph 11 of the report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions,[2] it was acknowledged that not all the proposals in the resource requirements document could be classified as emergency requests;

10. *Regrets* that the report of the Secretary-General on resource requirements was not presented in accordance with rule 153 of the rules of procedures of the General Assembly and with established practices, as pointed out in paragraph 3 of the report of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions,[2] and requests the Secretary-General to strictly comply with those rules in the future;

11. *Notes* that the report of the Secretary-General on resource requirements has not been issued in compliance with the six-week rule;

---

[4] See *Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 6* (A/54/6/Rev.1).

12. *Takes note* of the intention of the Secretary-General to submit future reports to the fifty-fifth and fifty-sixth sessions of the General Assembly on the implementation of the recommendations in the report of the Panel on United Nations Peace Operations, including the comprehensive review requested by the Special Committee on Peacekeeping Operations of the management, structure, recruitment processes and interrelationships of all relevant elements within the Secretariat that play a role in peacekeeping operations.

United Nations A/C.5/55/L.33

General Assembly

Distr.: Limited
20 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda item 116
Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations

Draft decision submitted by the Chairman

Biennial programme of work of the Fifth Committee for 2001-2002

The General Assembly, in accordance with paragraph 6 of its resolution 46/220 of 20 December 1991, approves the biennial programme of work of the Fifth Committee for 2001-2002, annexed to the present decision.

Annex

Biennial programme of work of the Fifth Committee for 2001-2002

A
Programme of work for 2001

1. Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations
2. Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001
3. Proposed programme budget for the biennium 2002-2003
4. Improving the financial situation of the United Nations
5. Joint Inspection Unit
6. Pattern of conferences
7. Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations
8. United Nations common system
9. Report of the Secretary-General on the activities of the Office of Internal Oversight Services
10. Financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations

00-80850 (E) 201200

11. Administrative and budgetary aspects of the financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations
12. Report of the Economic and Social Council
13. Appointments to fill vacancies in subsidiary organs and other appointments

B
Programme of work for 2002

1. Financial reports and audited financial statements, and reports of the Board of Auditors
2. Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations
3. Programme budget for the biennium 2002-2003
4. Programme planning
5. Improving the financial situation of the United Nations
6. Administrative and budgetary coordination of the United Nations with the specialized agencies and the International Atomic Energy Agency
7. Pattern of conferences
8. Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations
9. Human resources management
10. United Nations common system
11. United Nations pension system
12. Report of the Secretary-General on the activities of the Office of Internal Oversight Services
13. Financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations
14. Administrative and budgetary aspects of the financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations
15. Report of the Economic and Social Council
16. Appointments to fill vacancies in subsidiary organs and other appointments

United Nations A/C.5/55/L.34

General Assembly

Distr.: Limited
20 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda item 116
Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations

Draft decision submitted by the Chairman

Action taken on certain items

The General Assembly decides that the Fifth Committee should continue its consideration of the following agenda items at its resumed fifty-fifth session:

Item 115: Financial reports and audited financial statements, and reports of the Board of Auditors;

Item 116: Review of the efficiency of the administrative and financial functioning of the United Nations;

Item 117: Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001;

Item 119: Improving the financial situation of the United Nations;

Item 120: Administrative and budgetary coordination of the United Nations with the specialized agencies and the International Atomic Energy Agency;

[Item 122: Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations];

Item 123: Human resources management;

Item 124: United Nations common system;

Item 126: Report of the Secretary-General on the activities of the Office of Internal Oversight Services;

Item 127: Financing of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991;

Item 129: Financing of the United Nations Angola Verification Mission and the United Nations Observer Mission in Angola;

00-80856 (E) 201200

Item 130: Financing of the activities arising from Security Council resolution 687 (1991):

(a) United Nations Iraq-Kuwait Observation Mission;

(b) Other activities;

Item 131: Financing of the United Nations Mission in East Timor;

Item 132: Financing of the United Nations Mission in Sierra Leone;

Item 133: Financing of the United Nations Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo;

Item 134: Financing of the United Nations Transitional Administration in East Timor;

Item 135: Financing of the United Nations Mission for the Referendum in Western Sahara;

Item 136: Financing of the United Nations Mission of Observers in Tajikistan;

Item 137: Financing of the United Nations Preventive Deployment Force;

Item 138: Financing of the United Nations peacekeeping forces in the Middle East:

(a) United Nations Disengagement Observer Force;

(b) United Nations Interim Force in Lebanon;

Item 139: Financing and liquidation of the United Nations Transitional Authority in Cambodia;

Item 140: Financing of the United Nations Prevention Force, the United Nations Confidence Restoration Operation in Croatia, the United Nations Preventive Deployment Force and the United Nations Peace Forces headquarters;

Item 141: Financing of the United Nations Operation in Somalia II;

Item 142: Financing of the United Nations Operation in Mozambique;

Item 143: Financing of the United Nations Peacekeeping Force in Cyprus;

Item 144: Financing of the Untied Nations Observer Mission in Georgia;

Item 145: Financing of the United Nations Mission in Haiti;

Item 146: Financing of the United Nations Observer Mission in Liberia;

Item 147: Financing of the United Nations Assistance Mission for Rwanda;

Item 148: Financing of the United Nations Mission in Bosnia and Herzegovina;

Item 149: Financing of the United Nations Transitional Administration for Eastern Slavonia, Baranja and Western Sirmium and the Civilian Police Support Group;

Item 150: Financing of the United Nations Support Mission in Haiti, the United Nations Transition Mission in Haiti and the United Nations Civilian Police Mission in Haiti;

Item 151: Financing of the Military Observer Group of the United Nations Verification Mission in Guatemala;

Item 152: Financing of the United Nations Mission in the Central African Republic;

Item 153: Administrative and budgetary aspects of the financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations;

Item 167: Financing of the United Nations Organization Mission in the Democratic Republic of the Congo;

Item 169: Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations;

Item 176: Financing of the United Nations Mission in Ethiopia and Eritrea.

United Nations A/C.5/55/L.35

General Assembly

Distr.: Limited
21 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda item 117
Programme budget for the biennium 2000-2001

Draft decision submitted by the Chairman

Conditions of service and compensation for officials, other than Secretariat officials, serving the General Assembly: full-time members of the International Civil Service Commission and the Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions

The Fifth Committee recommends to the General Assembly that it: (a) take note of the report of the Secretary-General on the conditions of service and compensation for officials, other than Secretariat officials, serving the General Assembly: full-time members of the International Civil Service Commission and the Chairman of the Advisory Committee on Administrative and Budgetary Questions contained in document A/C.5/55/29; and (b) approve the suggestions outlined in paragraphs 8 to 10 and in paragraph 19 of that report, and further decides to undertake a detailed examination of the issue of compensation relativity in the context of the next five-year review of the conditions of service and compensation for the three officials.

The Fifth Committee reaffirms the principle that the conditions of service of the three officials should be separate and distinct from those of the United Nations Secretariat.

00-81012 (E) 211200

United Nations A/C.5/55/L.36

General Assembly

Distr.: Limited
22 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda item 122
Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations

Draft resolution submitted by the Chairman following informal consultations

Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations

A

[See resolution 55/5 of 26 October 2000]

B

The General Assembly,

Recalling the United Nations Millennium Declaration,[1] in which the Assembly resolved, inter alia, to ensure that the Organization is provided on a timely and predictable basis with the resources it needs to carry out its mandates,

Having considered the report of the Committee on Contributions,[2]

1. *Decides* that the scale of assessments for the period 2001-2003 shall be based on the following elements and criteria:

(a) Estimates of the gross national product;

(b) Average statistical base periods of six and three years;

(c) Conversion rates based on market exchange rates, except where that would cause excessive fluctuations and distortions in the income of some Member States, when price-adjusted rates of exchange or other appropriate conversion rates should be employed, taking due account of General Assembly resolution 46/221 B;

[1] Resolution 55/2.

[2] *Official Records of the General Assembly, Fifty-fifth Session, Supplement No. 11* (A/55/11).

00-81018 (E) 040101

(d) The debt burden approach employed in the scale of assessments for the period 1995-1997;

(e) A low per capita income adjustment of 80 per cent, with the threshold per capita income limit of the average per capita gross national product of all Member States for the statistical base periods;

(f) A minimum assessment rate of 0.001 per cent;

(g) A maximum assessment rate for the least developed countries of 0.01 per cent;

(h) A maximum assessment rate of 22 per cent;

2. *Decides* that the elements of the scale of assessments contained in section B, paragraph 1 above, will be fixed until 2006, subject to the provisions of section C below, in particular its paragraph 2, and without prejudice to rule 160 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly;

3. *Notes* that the application of the methodology outlined in section B, paragraph 1 above, will lead to a substantial increase in the rate of assessment of some Member States;

4. *Decides* to apply transitional measures to address those substantial increases;

5. *Notes* that the United States of America has decided to pay to the United Nations in 2001 an amount equal to 3 per cent of the amount assessed on Member States pursuant to General Assembly resolution 55/239 of 22 December 2000;

6. *Decides*, as an exceptional measure and notwithstanding the provisions of the Financial Regulations and Rules of the United Nations, that this amount should be credited against the assessed contributions of the other Member States for the programme budget for 2001, as reflected in annex I below;

7. *Resolves* that the scale of assessments for the contribution of Member States to the regular budget of the United Nations for the years 2001, 2002 and 2003 shall be as contained in annex II below:

8. *Resolves also* that:

(a) Notwithstanding the terms of regulation 5.5 of the Financial Regulations and Rules of the United Nations, the Secretary-General shall be empowered to accept, at his discretion and after consultation with the Chairman of the Committee on Contributions, a portion of the contributions of Member States for the calendar years 2001, 2002 and 2003 in currencies other than United States dollars;

(b) In accordance with regulation 5.9 of the Financial Regulations and Rules of the United Nations, States which are not Members of the United Nations but which participate in certain of its activities shall be called upon to contribute towards the 2001, 2002 and 2003 expenses of the Organization on the basis of the following rates:

Holy See	0.001
Switzerland	1.274

These rates represent the basis for the calculation of the flat annual fees to be charged to non-member States in accordance with General Assembly resolution 44/197 B of 21 December 1989.

C

The General Assembly,

Taking note that the financial burdens resulting from the reformed scale for the regular budget for calendar year 2001 will be borne in part by a voluntary donation from the major contributor;

Urging and expecting all Member States currently in arrears to fulfil their duties under international law and to settle those arrears promptly and in full;

1. *Establishes*, as from 1 January 2001, a reduced ceiling of 22 per cent for the assessed contribution of any individual Member State;

2. *Decides* to review the position at the end of 2003 and, depending on the status of contributions and arrears, to determine all appropriate measures to remedy the situation, including adjustments of the ceiling in keeping with resolution 52/215;

3. *Stresses* that the reduction of the maximum assessment rate referred to in section B, paragraph 1 above, shall apply to the apportionment of the expenses of the United Nations and should have no automatic implication for the apportionment of the expenses of the specialized agencies or the International Atomic Energy Agency.

D

The General Assembly,

Recalling the recommendations of the Committee on Contributions contained in its reports on its fifty-ninth[3] and sixtieth[2] sessions,

1. *Takes note* of the Committee's decision to consider the system of assessment of non-member States further at its sixty-first session;

2. *Decides* that, from 2001, the flat annual fee percentage for the Holy See should be set at 25 per cent of the approved notional rate of assessment.

E

The General Assembly,

Recalling its resolutions 47/217 of 12 February 1993, 51/218 E of 17 June 1997, 55/1 of 5 September 2000 and 55/12 of 1 November 2000;

Recalling also the recommendations of the Committee on Contributions with respect to the assessment of Tuvalu as a non-member State;

---

[3] Ibid., *Fifty-fourth Session, Supplement No. 11* (A/54/11).

1. *Decides* that the rate of assessment for Tuvalu, admitted to membership of the United Nations on 5 September 2000, should be 0.001 per cent for the year 2000;

2. *Decides also* that the rate of assessment for the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, admitted to membership in the United Nations on 1 November 2000, should be 0.026 per cent for the year 2000;

3. *Decides further* that the contributions of Tuvalu and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia for the regular budget and the international tribunals for the year 2000 should be calculated on the basis of one twelfth of their relevant rates of assessment for the year 2000 per full calendar month of membership;

4. *Decides* that Tuvalu should be credited with a corresponding proportion of its non-member State assessment for the year 2000;

5. *Decides also* that the contributions of Tuvalu and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia for the year 2000 should otherwise be applied to the same basis of assessment as for other Member States, except that, in the case of appropriations or apportionments approved by the General Assembly for the financing of peacekeeping operations, the contributions of Tuvalu and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, as determined by the group of Member States to which they may be assigned by the Assembly, should be calculated in proportion to the calendar year;

6. *Decides further* that the assessments of Tuvalu and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia for the year 2000 should be taken into account as miscellaneous income in accordance with regulation 5.2 (*c*) of the Financial Regulations and Rules of the United Nations;

7. *Decides* that, in accordance with financial regulation 5.8, the advances of Tuvalu and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia to the Working Capital Fund should be calculated by the application of their rates of assessment for the year 2000 to the authorized level of the Fund and should be added to the Fund, pending their incorporation in a 100 per cent scale for the Fund for 2002-2003;

8. *Notes* that the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia can be said to have a share in the Peacekeeping Reserve Fund, established pursuant to General Assembly resolution 47/217;[4]

9. *Notes* that, pursuant to General Assembly resolution 47/217, the assessment of Tuvalu for the Peacekeeping Reserve Fund should be calculated by the application of its first rate of assessment for peacekeeping operations to the authorized level of the Fund.

F

The General Assembly,

1. *Decides* to continue the review of other matters to be considered at the sixty-first session of the Committee on Contributions during the resumed fifty-fifth session;

2. *Decides further* to continue consideration of the proposal for the re-establishment of the Ad Hoc Working Group on the Capacity to Pay during the resumed fifty-fifth session.

[4] See also A/51/778.

Annex I

	LDC	*Member State*	*Final share of US 3%[a]*
1	*	Afghanistan	0.0020
2		Albania	0.0000
3		Algeria	0.0020
4		Andorra	0.0000
5	*	Angola	0.0000
6		Antigua and Barbuda	0.0000
7		Argentina	0.0440
8		Armenia	0.0000
9		Australia	0.0320
10		Austria	0.0326
11		Azerbaijan	0.0000
12		Bahamas	0.0000
13		Bahrain	0.0000
14	*	Bangladesh	0.0000
15		Barbados	0.0000
16		Belarus	0.0010
17		Belgium	0.0378
18		Belize	0.0000
19	*	Benin	0.0000
20	*	Bhutan	0.0000
21		Bolivia	0.0000
22		Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.0000
23		Botswana	0.0010
24		Brazil	0.5290
25		Brunei Darussalam	0.0010
26		Bulgaria	0.0000
27	*	Burkina Faso	0.0000
28	*	Burundi	0.0000
29	*	Cambodia	0.0000
30		Cameroon	0.0000
31		Canada	0.0000
32	*	Cape Verde	0.0000
33	*	Central African Republic	0.0000
34	*	Chad	0.0000
35		Chile	0.0470
36		China	0.0590
37		Colombia	0.0440
38	*	Comoros	0.0000

	LDC	Member State	Final share of US ^{a}
39		Congo	0.0000
40		Costa Rica	0.0000
41		Côte d'Ivoire	0.0000
42		Croatia	0.0020
43		Cuba	0.0010
44		Cyprus	0.0020
45		Czech Republic	0.0450
46		Democratic People's Republic of Korea	0.0000
47	*	Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.0000
48		Denmark	0.0255
49	*	Djibouti	0.0000
50		Dominica	0.0000
51		Dominican Republic	0.0010
52		Ecuador	0.0010
53		Egypt	0.0040
54		El Salvador	0.0010
55	*	Equatorial Guinea	0.0000
56	*	Eritrea	0.0000
57		Estonia	0.0000
58	*	Ethiopia	0.0000
59		Fiji	0.0000
60		Finland	0.0176
61		France	0.2199
62		Gabon	0.0000
63	*	Gambia	0.0000
64		Georgia	0.0000
65		Germany	0.3325
66		Ghana	0.0000
67		Greece	0.0185
68		Grenada	0.0000
69		Guatemala	0.0010
70	*	Guinea	0.0000
71	*	Guinea-Bissau	0.0000
72		Guyana	0.0000
73	*	Haiti	0.0000
74		Honduras	0.0010
75		Hungary	0.0050
76		Iceland	0.0020
77		India	0.0130

	LDC	Member State	Final share of US $%^a$
78		Indonesia	0.0070
79		Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.0600
80		Iraq	0.0300
81		Ireland	0.0097
82		Israel	0.0160
83		Italy	0.1716
84		Jamaica	0.0000
85		Japan	0.0000
86		Jordan	0.0000
87		Kazakhstan	0.0010
88		Kenya	0.0000
89	*	Kiribati	0.0000
90		Kuwait	0.0060
91		Kyrgyzstan	0.0000
92	*	Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.0000
93		Latvia	0.0000
94		Lebanon	0.0000
95	*	Lesotho	0.0000
96	*	Liberia	0.0000
97		Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.0020
98		Liechtenstein	0.0010
99		Lithuania	0.0010
100		Luxembourg	0.0026
101	*	Madagascar	0.0010
102	*	Malawi	0.0010
103		Malaysia	0.0080
104	*	Maldives	0.0000
105	*	Mali	0.0000
106		Malta	0.0010
107		Marshall Islands	0.0000
108	*	Mauritania	0.0000
109		Mauritius	0.0010
110		Mexico	0.0430
111		Micronesia (Federated States of)	0.0000
112		Monaco	0.0010
113		Mongolia	0.0000
114		Morocco	0.0020
115	*	Mozambique	0.0000
116	*	Myanmar	0.0000

	LDC	Member State	Final share of U.S. 3%[a]
117		Namibia	0.0010
118		Nauru	0.0000
119	*	Nepal	0.0000
120		Netherlands	0.0598
121		New Zealand	0.0040
122		Nicaragua	0.0000
123	*	Niger	0.0000
124		Nigeria	0.0150
125		Norway	0.0000
126		Oman	0.0030
127		Pakistan	0.0020
128		Palau (Republic of)	0.0000
129		Panama	0.0010
130		Papua New Guinea	0.0010
131		Paraguay	0.0010
132		Peru	0.0050
133		Philippines	0.0040
134		Poland	0.0840
135		Portugal	0.0150
136		Qatar	0.0020
137		Republic of Korea	0.4100
138		Republic of Moldova	0.0000
139		Romania	0.0020
140		Russian Federation	0.0000
141	*	Rwanda	0.0000
142		Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.0000
143		Saint Lucia	0.0000
144		Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.0000
145	*	Samoa	0.0000
146		San Marino	0.0000
147	*	Sao Tome and Principe	0.0000
148		Saudi Arabia	0.0220
149		Senegal	0.0010
150		Seychelles	0.0000
151	*	Sierra Leone	0.0000
152		Singapore	0.0150
153		Slovakia	0.0020
154		Slovenia	0.0030
155	*	Solomon Islands	0.0000

	LDC	Member State	Final share of US 3%[a]
156	*	Somalia	0.0000
157		South Africa	0.0170
158		Spain	0.0853
159		Sri Lanka	0.0010
160	*	Sudan	0.0000
161		Suriname	0.0000
162		Swaziland	0.0000
163		Sweden	0.0343
164		Syrian Arab Republic	0.0020
165		Tajikistan	0.0000
166		Thailand	0.0650
167		The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.0000
168	*	Togo	0.0000
169		Tonga	0.0000
170		Trinidad and Tobago	0.0010
171		Tunisia	0.0010
172		Turkey	0.0170
173		Turkmenistan	0.0000
174		Tuvalu	0.0000
175	*	Uganda	0.0000
176		Ukraine	0.0020
177		United Arab Emirates	0.0080
178		United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	0.1883
179	*	United Republic of Tanzania	0.0010
180		United States of America	0.0000
181		Uruguay	0.0180
182		Uzbekistan	0.0010
183	*	Vanuatu	0.0000
184		Venezuela	0.0080
185		Viet Nam	0.0040
186	*	Yemen	0.0000
187		Yugoslavia	0.0010
188	*	Zambia	0.0000
189		Zimbabwe	0.0010
		Total	**3.0000**

[a] 3% additional payment by the United States of America.

Annex II

	LDC	Member State	Scale 2001 (%)	Scale 2002 (%)	Scale 2003 (%)
1	*	Afghanistan	0.008	0.007	0.00900
2		Albania	0.003	0.003	0.00300
3		Algeria	0.070	0.071	0.07000
4		Andorra	0.004	0.004	0.00400
5	*	Angola	0.002	0.002	0.00200
6		Antigua and Barbuda	0.002	0.002	0.00200
7		Argentina	1.156	1.159	1.14900
8		Armenia	0.002	0.002	0.00200
9		Australia	1.636	1.640	1.62700
10		Austria	0.952	0.954	0.94700
11		Azerbaijan	0.004	0.004	0.00400
12		Bahamas	0.012	0.012	0.01200
13		Bahrain	0.018	0.018	0.01800
14	*	Bangladesh	0.010	0.010	0.01000
15		Barbados	0.009	0.009	0.00900
16		Belarus	0.019	0.019	0.01900
17		Belgium	1.136	1.138	1.12900
18		Belize	0.001	0.001	0.00100
19	*	Benin	0.002	0.002	0.00200
20	*	Bhutan	0.001	0.001	0.00100
21		Bolivia	0.008	0.008	0.00800
22		Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.004	0.004	0.00400
23		Botswana	0.010	0.010	0.01000
24		Brazil	2.231	2.093	2.39000
25		Brunei Darussalam	0.033	0.033	0.03300
26		Bulgaria	0.013	0.013	0.01300
27	*	Burkina Faso	0.002	0.002	0.00200
28	*	Burundi	0.001	0.001	0.00100
29	*	Cambodia	0.002	0.002	0.00200
30		Cameroon	0.009	0.009	0.00900
31		Canada	2.573	2.579	2.55800
32	*	Cape Verde	0.001	0.001	0.00100
33	*	Central African Republic	0.001	0.001	0.00100
34	*	Chad	0.001	0.001	0.00100
35		Chile	0.198	0.187	0.21200
36		China	1.541	1.545	1.53200
37		Colombia	0.186	0.171	0.20100
38	*	Comoros	0.001	0.001	0.00100

	LDC	Member State	Scale 2001 (%)	Scale 2002 (%)	Scale 2003 (%)
39		Congo	0.001	0.001	0.00100
40		Costa Rica	0.020	0.020	0.02000
41		Côte d'Ivoire	0.009	0.009	0.00900
42		Croatia	0.039	0.039	0.03900
43		Cuba	0.030	0.030	0.03000
44		Cyprus	0.038	0.038	0.03800
45		Czech Republic	0.189	0.172	0.20300
46		Democratic People's Republic of Korea	0.009	0.009	0.00900
47	*	Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.004	0.004	0.00400
48		Denmark	0.753	0.755	0.74900
49	*	Djibouti	0.001	0.001	0.00100
50		Dominica	0.001	0.001	0.00100
51		Dominican Republic	0.023	0.023	0.02300
52		Ecuador	0.025	0.025	0.02500
53		Egypt	0.081	0.081	0.08100
54		El Salvador	0.018	0.018	0.01800
55	*	Equatorial Guinea	0.001	0.001	0.00100
56	*	Eritrea	0.001	0.001	0.00100
57		Estonia	0.010	0.010	0.01000
58	*	Ethiopia	0.004	0.004	0.00400
59		Fiji	0.004	0.004	0.00400
60		Finland	0.525	0.526	0.52200
61		France	6.503	6.516	6.46600
62		Gabon	0.014	0.014	0.01400
63	*	Gambia	0.001	0.001	0.00100
64		Georgia	0.005	0.005	0.00500
65		Germany	9.825	9.845	9.76900
66		Ghana	0.005	0.005	0.00500
67		Greece	0.542	0.543	0.53900
68		Grenada	0.001	0.001	0.00100
69		Guatemala	0.027	0.027	0.02700
70	*	Guinea	0.003	0.003	0.00300
71	*	Guinea-Bissau	0.001	0.001	0.00100
72		Guyana	0.001	0.001	0.00100
73	*	Haiti	0.002	0.002	0.00200
74		Honduras	0.005	0.004	0.00500
75		Hungary	0.121	0.121	0.12000
76		Iceland	0.033	0.033	0.03300
77		India	0.343	0.344	0.34100

	LDC	Member State	Scale 2001 (%)	Scale 2002 (%)	Scale 2003 (%)
78		Indonesia	0.201	0.201	0.20000
79		Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.253	0.236	0.27200
80		Iraq	0.127	0.102	0.13600
81		Ireland	0.296	0.297	0.29400
82		Israel	0.417	0.418	0.41500
83		Italy	5.094	5.104	5.06475
84		Jamaica	0.004	0.004	0.00400
85		Japan	19.629	19.669	19.51575
86		Jordan	0.008	0.008	0.00800
87		Kazakhstan	0.029	0.029	0.02800
88		Kenya	0.008	0.008	0.00800
89	*	Kiribati	0.001	0.001	0.00100
90		Kuwait	0.148	0.148	0.14700
91		Kyrgyzstan	0.001	0.001	0.00100
92	*	Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.001	0.001	0.00100
93		Latvia	0.010	0.010	0.01000
94		Lebanon	0.012	0.012	0.01200
95	*	Lesotho	0.001	0.001	0.00100
96	*	Liberia	0.001	0.001	0.00100
97		Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.067	0.067	0.06700
98		Liechtenstein	0.006	0.006	0.00600
99		Lithuania	0.017	0.017	0.01700
100		Luxembourg	0.080	0.080	0.08000
101	*	Madagascar	0.003	0.003	0.00300
102	*	Malawi	0.002	0.002	0.00200
103		Malaysia	0.237	0.237	0.23500
104	*	Maldives	0.001	0.001	0.00100
105	*	Mali	0.002	0.002	0.00200
106		Malta	0.015	0.015	0.01500
107		Marshall Islands	0.001	0.001	0.00100
108	*	Mauritania	0.001	0.001	0.00100
109		Mauritius	0.011	0.011	0.01100
110		Mexico	1.093	1.095	1.08600
111		Micronesia (Federated States of)	0.001	0.001	0.00100
112		Monaco	0.004	0.004	0.00400
113		Mongolia	0.001	0.001	0.00100
114		Morocco	0.045	0.045	0.04400
115	*	Mozambique	0.001	0.001	0.00100
116	*	Myanmar	0.010	0.010	0.01000

	LDC	Member State	Scale 2001 (%)	Scale 2002 (%)	Scale 2003 (%)
117		Namibia	0.007	0.007	0.00700
118		Nauru	0.001	0.001	0.00100
119	*	Nepal	0.004	0.004	0.00400
120		Netherlands	1.748	1.751	1.73800
121		New Zealand	0.242	0.243	0.24100
122		Nicaragua	0.001	0.001	0.00100
123	*	Niger	0.001	0.001	0.00100
124		Nigeria	0.062	0.056	0.06800
125		Norway	0.650	0.652	0.64600
126		Oman	0.062	0.062	0.06100
127		Pakistan	0.061	0.061	0.06100
128		Palau (Republic of)	0.001	0.001	0.00100
129		Panama	0.018	0.018	0.01800
130		Papua New Guinea	0.006	0.006	0.00600
131		Paraguay	0.016	0.016	0.01600
132		Peru	0.119	0.119	0.11800
133		Philippines	0.101	0.101	0.10000
134		Poland	0.353	0.319	0.37800
135		Portugal	0.465	0.466	0.46200
136		Qatar	0.034	0.034	0.03400
137		Republic of Korea	1.728	1.866	1.85100
138		Republic of Moldova	0.002	0.002	0.00200
139		Romania	0.059	0.059	0.05800
140		Russian Federation	1.200	1.200	1.20000
141	*	Rwanda	0.001	0.001	0.00100
142		Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.001	0.001	0.00100
143		Saint Lucia	0.002	0.002	0.00200
144		Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.001	0.001	0.00100
145	*	Samoa	0.001	0.001	0.00100
146		San Marino	0.002	0.002	0.00200
147	*	Sao Tome and Principe	0.001	0.001	0.00100
148		Saudi Arabia	0.557	0.559	0.55400
149		Senegal	0.005	0.005	0.00500
150		Seychelles	0.002	0.002	0.00200
151	*	Sierra Leone	0.001	0.001	0.00100
152		Singapore	0.395	0.396	0.39300
153		Slovakia	0.043	0.043	0.04300
154		Slovenia	0.081	0.081	0.08100
155	*	Solomon Islands	0.001	0.001	0.00100

	LDC	Member State	Scale 2001 (%)	Scale 2002 (%)	Scale 2003 (%)
156	*	Somalia	0.001	0.001	0.00100
157		South Africa	0.410	0.411	0.40800
158		Spain	2.534	2.539	2.51875
159		Sri Lanka	0.016	0.016	0.01600
160	*	Sudan	0.006	0.006	0.00600
161		Suriname	0.002	0.002	0.00200
162		Swaziland	0.002	0.002	0.00200
163		Sweden	1.033	1.035	1.02675
164		Syrian Arab Republic	0.081	0.081	0.08000
165		Tajikistan	0.001	0.001	0.00100
166		Thailand	0.275	0.254	0.29400
167		The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.006	0.006	0.00600
168	*	Togo	0.001	0.001	0.00100
169		Tonga	0.001	0.001	0.00100
170		Trinidad and Tobago	0.016	0.016	0.01600
171		Tunisia	0.031	0.031	0.03000
172		Turkey	0.443	0.444	0.44000
173		Turkmenistan	0.003	0.003	0.00300
174	*	Tuvalu	0.001	0.001	0.00100
175	*	Uganda	0.005	0.005	0.00500
176		Ukraine	0.053	0.053	0.05300
177		United Arab Emirates	0.204	0.204	0.20200
178		United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	5.568	5.579	5.53600
179	*	United Republic of Tanzania	0.004	0.004	0.00400
180		United States of America	22.000	22.000	22.00000
181		Uruguay	0.075	0.081	0.08000
182		Uzbekistan	0.011	0.011	0.01100
183	*	Vanuatu	0.001	0.001	0.00100
184		Venezuela	0.210	0.210	0.20800
185		Viet Nam	0.015	0.013	0.01600
186	*	Yemen	0.007	0.007	0.00600
187		Yugoslavia	0.020	0.020	0.02000
188	*	Zambia	0.002	0.002	0.00200
189		Zimbabwe	0.008	0.008	0.00800
		Total	**100.000**	**100.000**	**100.00000**

United Nations A/C.5/55/L.37

General Assembly

Distr.: Limited
22 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda item 169
Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations

Draft resolution submitted by the Chairman following informal consultations

Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations

The General Assembly,

I

Reaffirming the principles set out in its resolutions 1874 (S-IV) of 27 June 1963 and 3101 (XXVIII) of 11 December 1973,

1. *Reaffirms* the following general principles underlying the financing of United Nations peacekeeping operations:

(a) The financing of such operations is the collective responsibility of all States Members of the United Nations and, accordingly, the costs of peacekeeping operations are expenses of the Organization to be borne by Member States in accordance with Article 17, paragraph 2, of the Charter of the United Nations;

(b) In order to meet the expenditures caused by such operations, a different procedure is required from that applied to meet expenditures under the regular budget of the United Nations;

(c) Whereas the economically more developed countries are in a position to make relatively larger contributions to peacekeeping operations, the economically less developed countries have a relatively limited capacity to contribute towards peacekeeping operations involving heavy expenditures;

(d) The special responsibilities of the permanent members of the Security Council for the maintenance of peace and security should be borne in mind in connection with their contributions to the financing of peace and security operations;

00-81309 (E) 040101

(e) Where circumstances warrant, the General Assembly should give special consideration to the situation of any Member States which are victims of, and those which are otherwise involved in, the events or actions leading to a peacekeeping operation;

2. *Recognizes* the need to reform the current methodology for apportioning the expenses of peacekeeping operations;

3. *Notes with appreciation* voluntary contributions made to peacekeeping operations and, without prejudice to the principle of collective responsibility, invites Member States to consider making such contributions;

II

4. *Decides* that assessment rates for the financing of peacekeeping operations should be based on the scale of assessments for the regular budget of the United Nations, with an appropriate and transparent system of adjustments based on levels of Member States, consistent with the principles outlined above;

5. *Decides also* that the permanent members of the Security Council should form a separate level and that, consistent with their special responsibilities for the maintenance of peace and security, they should be assessed at a higher rate than for the regular budget of the United Nations;

6. *Decides* that all discounts resulting from adjustments to the regular budget assessment rates of Member States in levels C through J shall be borne on a pro rata basis by the permanent members of the Security Council;

7. *Decides also* that the least developed countries should be placed in a separate level and receive the highest rate of discount available under the scale;

8. *Decides further* that the statistical data used for setting the rates of assessment for peacekeeping should be the same as the data used in preparing the regular budget scale of assessment, subject to the provisions of the present resolution;

9. *Decides* to create levels of discount to facilitate automatic, predictable movement between categories on the basis of Member States' per capita gross national product;

10. *Decides also* that, as from 1 July 2001, peacekeeping rates of assessment should be based on the following parameters:

Ten levels of contribution, as set forth below, and based on the following table:

Peacekeeping scale levels based on average per capita gross national product for all Members of the Organization (WAPCI)

Level	*Threshold*	*Dollar thresholds (2001-2003)*	*Target discount per cent*	*Transition period for new contributors (2001-2003 scale)*
A	Permanent members of the Security Council		Premium	
B	All Member States (except level A contributors)	N/A	0	3 years
C	N/A	N/A	7.5	3 years
D	Below 2X WAPCI (except level A contributors)	Under $9 594	20	3 years
E	Below 1.8X WAPCI (except level A contributors)	Under $8 634	40	2 years
F	Below 1.6X WAPCI (except level A contributors)	Under $7 675	60	N/A
G	Below 1.4X WAPCI (except level A contributors)	Under $6 715	70	N/A
H	Below 1.2X WAPCI (except level A contributors)	Under $5 756	80 (or 70 on a voluntary basis)	N/A
I	Below WAPCI	Under $4 797	80	N/A
J	Least developed countries (except level A contributors)		90	N/A

11. *Decides further* that Member States will be assigned to the lowest level of contribution with the highest discount for which they are eligible, unless they indicate a decision to move to a higher level;

12. *Decides* that for purposes of determining the eligibility of Member States for contribution in particular levels during the 2001-2003 scale period, the world average PCI will be $4,797, and the PCI of Member States will be the average of 1993 to 1998 figures;

13. *Decides also* that transitions as specified above will occur in equal increments over the transition period as designated above;

14. *Decides further* that after 2001-2003, transition periods of two years will apply to countries moving up by two levels, and that transition periods of three years will apply to countries moving up by three levels or more without prejudice to paragraph 11;

15. *Requests* the Secretary-General to update the composition of the levels described above on a triennial basis, in conjunction with the regular budget scale of assessment reviews, in accordance with the criteria established above and to report thereon to the General Assembly;

16. *Decides* that the structure of levels to be implemented as from 1 July 2001 shall be reviewed after nine years;

17. *Decides also* that Member States may agree upon adjustments to their assessment rates under the ad hoc scale in the light of the special transitional circumstances applying during the period 1 January to 30 June 2001;

III

18. *Decides* that, as an ad hoc arrangement until 30 June 2001, in respect of the composition of groups set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 of its resolution 43/232 of 1 March 1989, as adjusted by subsequent relevant resolutions and decisions, for the apportionment of peacekeeping appropriations, that Tuvalu should be included in the group of Member States set out in paragraph 3 (d) of resolution 43/232 and that its contributions to peacekeeping operations should be calculated in accordance with the provisions of the relevant resolutions adopted and to be adopted by the General Assembly regarding the scale of assessments;

19. *Decides also* that, as an ad hoc arrangement until 30 June 2001, in respect of the composition of groups set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 of its resolution 43/232, as adjusted by subsequent relevant resolutions and decisions, for the apportionment of peacekeeping appropriations, that the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia should be included in the group of Member States set out in paragraph 3 (c) of resolution 43/232 and that its contributions to peacekeeping operations should be calculated in accordance with the provisions of the relevant resolutions adopted and to be adopted by the General Assembly regarding the scale of assessments;

20. *Decides further* that, as an ad hoc arrangement until 30 June 2001, in respect of the composition of groups set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 of its resolution 43/232, as adjusted by subsequent relevant resolutions and decisions, for the apportionment of peacekeeping appropriations, that, as from 1 January 2001, South Africa should be included in the group of Member States set out in paragraph 3 (c) of resolution 43/232 and that its contributions to peacekeeping operations should be calculated in accordance with the provisions of the relevant resolutions adopted and to be adopted by the General Assembly regarding the scale of assessments;

21. *Decides* that, as an ad hoc arrangement until 30 June 2001, in respect of the composition of groups set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 of its resolution 43/232, as adjusted by subsequent relevant resolutions and decisions, for the apportionment of peacekeeping appropriations, that, as from 1 January 2001, Cambodia should be included in the group of Member States set out in paragraph 3 (d) of resolution 43/232 and that its contributions to peacekeeping operations should be calculated in accordance with the provisions of the relevant resolutions adopted and to be adopted by the General Assembly regarding the scale of assessments;

22. *Decides*, as an ad hoc arrangement, to assess the share of the Republic of Korea, which currently belongs to Group C, in the costs of peacekeeping operations in the following manner: 36 per cent of the regular budget assessment beginning on 1 July 2001, 52 per cent in 2002, 68 per cent in 2003, 84 per cent in 2004, and 100 per cent in 2005.

Annex A

Assignment of contribution levels for 2001-2003

Level A

Permanent members of the Security Council: China, France, Russian Federation, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, United States of America

Level B

Andorra, Australia, Austria, Belgium, Canada, Cyprus, Denmark, Finland, Germany, Greece, Iceland, Ireland, Israel, Italy, Japan, Liechtenstein, Luxembourg, Monaco, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal, San Marino, Spain, Sweden

Level C

Brunei Darussalam, Kuwait, Qatar, Singapore, United Arab Emirates

Level D

Bahamas, Republic of Korea

Level E

Antigua and Barbuda, Bahrain, Malta, Slovenia

Level F

Argentina, Barbados, Seychelles

Level G

Oman, Palau, Saudi Arabia

Level H

Saint Kitts and Nevis, Uruguay

Level I

Albania, Algeria, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Belarus, Belize, Bolivia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Botswana, Brazil, Bulgaria, Cameroon, Chile, Colombia, Congo, Costa Rica, Côte d'Ivoire, Croatia, Cuba, Czech Republic, Democratic People's Republic of Korea, Dominica, Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Egypt, El Salvador,

Estonia, Fiji, Gabon, Georgia, Ghana, Grenada, Guatemala, Guyana, Honduras, Hungary, India, Indonesia, Iran (Islamic Republic of), Iraq, Jamaica, Jordan, Kazakhstan, Kenya, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Lebanon, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, Lithuania, Malaysia, Marshall Islands, Mauritius, Mexico, Micronesia (Federated States of), Mongolia, Morocco, Namibia, Nauru, Nicaragua, Nigeria, Pakistan, Panama, Papua New Guinea, Paraguay, Peru, Philippines, Poland, Republic of Moldova, Romania, Saint Lucia, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines, Senegal, Slovakia, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Suriname, Swaziland, Syrian Arab Republic, Tajikistan, Thailand, the former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, Tonga, Trinidad and Tobago, Tunisia, Turkey, Turkmenistan, Ukraine, Uzbekistan, Venezuela, Viet Nam, Yugoslavia, Zimbabwe

Level J

The least developed countries: Afghanistan, Angola, Bangladesh, Benin, Bhutan, Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cambodia, Cape Verde, Central African Republic, Chad, Comoros, Democratic Republic of the Congo, Djibouti, Equatorial Guinea, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Gambia, Guinea, Guinea-Bissau, Haiti, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Lesotho, Liberia, Madagascar, Malawi, Maldives, Mali, Mauritania, Mozambique, Myanmar, Nepal, Niger, Rwanda, Samoa, Sao Tome and Principe, Sierra Leone, Solomon Islands, Somalia, Sudan, Togo, Tuvalu, Uganda, United Republic of Tanzania, Vanuatu, Yemen, Zambia

United Nations A/C.5/55/L.38

General Assembly

Distr. Limited
22 December 2000

Original: English

Fifty-fifth session
Fifth Committee
Agenda item 169
Scale of assessments for the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations

Draft resolution submitted by the Chairman following informal consultations

Voluntary movements in connection with the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations

The General Assembly,

Recalling its resolution 55/235 of 22 December 2000,

1. *Welcomes with appreciation* the commitment of certain Member States to undertake voluntarily to contribute to peacekeeping operations at a rate higher than required by their per capita income;

2. *Welcomes* the voluntary decision made by Estonia and Israel to reclassification for the purpose of the apportionment of the expenses of United Nations peacekeeping operations;

3. *Decides* that, as an ad hoc arrangement until 30 June 2001, in respect of the composition of groups set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 of its resolution 43/232, as adjusted by subsequent relevant resolutions and decisions, for the apportionment of peacekeeping operations, that, from 1 January 2001, Estonia should be included in the group of Member States set out in paragraph 3 (b) of resolution 43/232 and that its contributions to peacekeeping operations should be calculated in accordance with the provisions of the relevant resolutions adopted and to be adopted by the Assembly regarding the scale of assessments;

4. *Decides* that, as an ad hoc arrangement until 30 June 2001, in respect of the composition of groups set out in paragraphs 3 and 4 of its resolution 43/232, as adjusted by subsequent relevant resolutions and decisions, for the apportionment of peacekeeping appropriations, that, from 1 January 2001, Israel should be included in the group of Member States set out in paragraph 3 (b) of resolution 43/232 and that its contributions to peacekeeping operations should be calculated in accordance with

00-81315 (E) 040101

the provisions of the relevant resolutions adopted and to be adopted by the General Assembly regarding the scale of assessments;

5. *Welcomes also* the following voluntary commitments:

Bulgaria: from Level I to Level H;[1]

Czech Republic: from Level I to Level H;[1]

Estonia: to move to Level B immediately upon the effective date of the new scale, foregoing its transition time;

Hungary: from Level I to Level B, with a transition time of five years, starting from 1 July 2001 as follows: from Level I to Level H from 1 July 2001; from Level H[1] to Level F from 1 July 2002; from Level F to Level E from 1 July 2003; from Level E to Level D from 1 July 2004; and from Level D to Level B from 1 July 2005;

Israel: to move to Level B immediately upon the effective date of the new scale, foregoing its transition time;

Latvia: from Level I to Level H;[1]

Lithuania: from Level I to Level H;[1]

Malta: from Level E to Level B;

Poland: from Level I to Level H;[1]

Philippines: from Level I to Level H;[1]

Romania: from Level I to Level H;[1]

Slovakia: from Level I to Level H;[1]

Slovenia: from Level E to Level B immediately upon the effective date of the new scale, foregoing its transition time;

Turkey: from Level I to Level H[1] from the effective date of the new scale until 2002; and from Level H to Level F for the remainder of the scale period;

6. *Decides* that at any time during the scale period, a Member State may make a voluntary commitment to contribute at a rate higher than its current rate by informing the General Assembly through the Secretary-General, and the General Assembly may take note of that decision.

[1] Level H means 70 per cent discount for voluntary movement.